1	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS Acting United States Attorney	
2	CHARLES M. O'CONNER (CA State Bar No. 5	56320)
3	Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Ave., 10th Floor	,
4	San Francisco, California 94102 Tel: (415) 436-7180	
5	MATTHEW J. MCKEOWN	
6	Acting Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources Division	
7	LILY N. CHINN (CA State Bar No. 203173)	
8	Trial Attorney U.S. Department of Justice	
9	Environmental Defense Section P.O. Box 23986	
10	Washington, D.C. 20026-3986 Tel: (202) 514-0135	
11	Fax: (202) 514-8865 Email: <u>lily.chinn@usdoj.gov</u>	
12		
13	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS	
14	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	IN THE UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
15	FOR THE NORTHERN DI	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	FOR THE NORTHERN DI	
15 16 17	FOR THE NORTHERN DI SAN FRANCIS 	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16	FOR THE NORTHERN DI SAN FRANCIS BAYKEEPER, HUMBOLDT BAYKEEPER, ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION
16 17 18	FOR THE NORTHERN DI SAN FRANCIS BAYKEEPER, HUMBOLDT	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION
16 17 18 19	FOR THE NORTHERN DI SAN FRANCIS BAYKEEPER, HUMBOLDT BAYKEEPER, ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION, and COMMUNITIES FOR	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION
16 17 18 19 20	FOR THE NORTHERN DI SAN FRANCIS BAYKEEPER, HUMBOLDT) BAYKEEPER, ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS) FOUNDATION, and COMMUNITIES FOR) A BETTER ENVIRONMENT,)	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION Case No. C 06-5611 CRB
116 117 118 119 220 221	FOR THE NORTHERN DI SAN FRANCIS BAYKEEPER, HUMBOLDT BAYKEEPER, ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION, and COMMUNITIES FOR A BETTER ENVIRONMENT, Plaintiffs, v.	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION Case No. C 06-5611 CRB
116 117 118 119 220 221	FOR THE NORTHERN DI SAN FRANCIS BAYKEEPER, HUMBOLDT BAYKEEPER, ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION, and COMMUNITIES FOR A BETTER ENVIRONMENT, Plaintiffs, v. UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, STEPHEN L.	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION Case No. C 06-5611 CRB
116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223	FOR THE NORTHERN DI SAN FRANCIS BAYKEEPER, HUMBOLDT BAYKEEPER, ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION, and COMMUNITIES FOR A BETTER ENVIRONMENT, Plaintiffs, v. UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, STEPHEN L. JOHNSON, as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency,	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION Case No. C 06-5611 CRB
116 117 118 119 220 221 222 233 224	FOR THE NORTHERN DI SAN FRANCIS BAYKEEPER, HUMBOLDT BAYKEEPER, ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION, and COMMUNITIES FOR A BETTER ENVIRONMENT, Plaintiffs, v. UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, STEPHEN L. JOHNSON, as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, WAYNE NASTRI, as Regional Administrator of the United States	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION Case No. C 06-5611 CRB
16 17	BAYKEEPER, HUMBOLDT BAYKEEPER, ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION, and COMMUNITIES FOR A BETTER ENVIRONMENT, Plaintiffs, v. UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, STEPHEN L. JOHNSON, as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, WAYNE NASTRI, as Regional Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9,	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION Case No. C 06-5611 CRB
116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224 225	FOR THE NORTHERN DI SAN FRANCIS BAYKEEPER, HUMBOLDT BAYKEEPER, ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION, and COMMUNITIES FOR A BETTER ENVIRONMENT, Plaintiffs, v. UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, STEPHEN L. JOHNSON, as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, WAYNE NASTRI, as Regional Administrator of the United States	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION Case No. C 06-5611 CRB

Case 3:06-cv-05611-CRB Document 37 Filed 04/16/07 Page 2 of 3

1	Plaintiffs Baykeeper, Humboldt Baykeeper, Ecological Rights Foundation, and	
2	Communities for a Better Environment and Defendants Stephen L. Johnson, Administrator of the	
3	United States Environmental Protection Agency; and Wayne Nastri, Regional Administrator,	
4	EPA Region IX, and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (collectively "EPA")	
5	hereby file this joint stipulation:	
6	WHEREAS, pursuant to stipulation of the Parties, the Court by Order dated December 6,	
7	2006 established a briefing schedule on the Plaintiffs' currently pending Motion for Summary	
8	Judgment, Defendants' currently pending Counter-Motion for Summary Judgment, and	
9	Plaintiffs' to be filed Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint.	
10	WHEREAS, pursuant to stipulation of the Parties, the Court by Order dated January 9,	
11	2007 stayed all further litigation until March 16, 2007 and vacated all pending deadlines in this	
12	case to allow time for settlement discussions.	
13	WHEREAS, the parties have been engaged in active settlement discussion since last fall.	
14	The parties have exchanged several versions of written settlement proposals and met in person on	
15	October 19, 2006 and again on December 14, 2006 to discuss settlement of this case.	
16	WHEREAS, the parties attended a mediation on March 22, 2007 to further discuss the	

iscuss the possibility of settlement as part this Court's required ADR mediation process and made significant progress towards settlement of this case.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, the parties request that this case be stayed an additional 30 days to allow the parties to complete settlement negotiations and obtain all necessary approvals.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties have agreed and request that the Court issue an order as follows:

- 1. This stipulation shall serve in lieu of the status report referred to in this Court's March 20, 2007 order;
 - 2. All further litigation in this case is stayed until May 11, 2007.
- 3. The parties shall submit a joint status report no later than May 14, 2007 informing the Court as to the progress of settlement discussions and recommendations regarding how this case should proceed.

Case 3:06-cv-05611-CRB Document 37 Filed 04/16/07 Page 3 of 3

1	1 Respectfu	ally submitted,	
2	2 Dated: April 11, 2007		
3		stopher Sproul ¹ / OPHER SPROUL	
4	5135 Anz		
5	5 San Franc	eisco, CA 94121	
6		N. SCHOOLS	
7	7 Acting U	nited States Attorney	
8		EW J. MCKEOWN ssistant Attorney General	
9	9 Environm	ent & Natural Resources Division	
10	10 <u>/s/ Lily</u> LILY N. 0	N. Chinn CHINN	
11	11 Trial Atto	orney ates Department of Justice	
12	Environm P.O. Box	ental Defense Section	
13		on, D.C. 20026-3986	
14	14		
15	15		
16	16 It is so ordered.		
17	17	STATES DISTRICT CO.	
18	18 DATED: April 13, 2007	STA	
19	19 HON. CH United St.	IARI/ES/BREYER	
20	20 Cinica St	IARI/ES/BREYER ates District AT IS SO ORDERED	
21	21		
22	22	Judge Charles R. Breyer	
23	23		
24	24	PRIV DISTRICT OF CE	
25	25	DISTRICT	
26	26		
27	27 As the filing attorney. I. Christopher Sproul, att	est that Defendants' attornoy Lily China	
28	As the filing attorney, I, Christopher Sproul, attest that Defendants' attorney, Lily Chinn, finds the contents of this filed document acceptable and has given me permission to		
	electronically file this stipulated motion on her behalf3-		
ı	-J-		